



Decarboxylative cyclizations and cycloadditions of palladium-polarized aza-ortho-xylylenes

Chao Wang, Nirmal Pahadi, Jon A. Tunge*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Kansas, 1251 Wescoe Hall Dr., Lawrence, KS 66045, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 February 2009

Received in revised form 8 April 2009

Accepted 20 April 2009

Available online 3 May 2009


ABSTRACT

Vinyl benzoxazinones undergo decarboxylation in the presence of palladium catalysts to form palladium-polarized aza-ortho-xylylenes, which are versatile reaction intermediates. These palladium-polarized aza-ortho-xylylenes are generated under exceptionally mild conditions and undergo a plethora of different reactions depending on the reaction conditions. Specifically, they undergo dimerization reactions to produce macrocycles, cyclizations to form dihydroquinolines, cycloadditions with electrophilic p-bonds, and nucleophilic attack by amines. Thus, a wide array of structurally unique aniline derivatives can be accessed from these unique intermediates.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction


Previously, we have shown that palladium catalysts effect the decarboxylation of vinyl oxazinones to form zwitterionic π -allyl palladium intermediates (**A**, Scheme 1).¹ Thus, vinyl oxazinones can be equated with zwitterionic synthons (**B**). In the absence of other electrophiles, the zwitterionic intermediate cyclizes to form vinyl azetidines with high diastereoselectivity. Moreover, in the presence of suitably electrophilic Michael acceptors,² the intermediates undergo



Scheme 1.


diastereoselective cycloadditions to generate highly substituted piperidine derivatives.³

The related vinyl benzoxazinones (**3**) undergo similar palladium-induced decarboxylation (Scheme 2).⁴ However, these substrates give rise to aza-ortho-xylylene synthons (**C**).⁵ The decarboxylation of vinyl benzoxazinones under conditions of palladium catalysis occurs at 25 °C and is thus significantly milder than thermal decarboxylation of benzoxazinones, which typically requires temperatures near 200 °C.⁶ In addition, the aza-ortho-xylylene equivalents generated in the presence of palladium are more polarized than standard aza-ortho-xylylenes. Thus, while standard aza-ortho-xylylenes preferentially react with electron rich olefins, palladium-polarized aza-ortho-xylylenes (**D**) prefer to react with electron deficient olefins. Herein, we further describe the cyclization and cycloaddition chemistry of these unique intermediates.



Scheme 2.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 785 864 4136; fax: +1 785 864 5396.
E-mail address: tunge@ku.edu (J.A. Tunge).




Scheme 3.

2. Decarboxylative macrocycloadditions

To begin, vinyl benzoxazinone was synthesized from *ortho*-hydroxymethyl phenylcarbamate (Scheme 3).⁴ Specifically, the alcohol was oxidized to the aldehyde with PCC on alumina,⁷ followed by addition of a vinyl Grignard reagent. This procedure often produced the desired benzoxazinone, however, the ratio of cyclized and uncyclized carbamate was not always reproducible. Thus, the product of Grignard addition was treated with potassium carbonate to ensure high-yielding cyclization.⁸ Finally, N-tosylation under standard conditions gave the desired starting material.⁶ Importantly, this process could be carried out without chromatographic purification of any intermediates.


Next, vinyl carbamate **3a** was treated with Pd(PPh₃)₄ in toluene at room temperature. After 10 min, ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis indicated the clean formation of the 12-membered macrocycle **4a**, however, the product was isolated in only 36% yield (Scheme 4).⁹ The low isolated yield led us to believe that the zwitterionic intermediate may also form oligomers or polymers under the reaction conditions. It is also important to note that, in contrast to saturated vinyl oxazinones, which rapidly form vinyl azetidines under these conditions (Scheme 1),¹ the formation of vinyl azetidines is never observed in the reactions of vinyl benzoxazinones.



Scheme 4.


Given the discovery of a rapid, formal [6+6] cycloaddition, we briefly examined the generality of this macrocycloaddition. As can be seen in Table 1, addition of groups to the position *para* to the tosylamide significantly improved the isolated yields of products. To explain this observation, we speculate that the group is blocking electrophilic aromatic substitution that can take place with the protio derivative. Surprisingly, the fluorine-containing derivative did not form any isolable products when allowed to react under analogous conditions in toluene at room temperature. However, switching the solvent to dichloroethane and performing the reaction at 60 °C did allow for the isolation of a high yield of the fluorinated macrocycle. Interestingly, the coupling constant for the olefinic protons ($J=9.8$ Hz) of the macrocyclic product (**4d**) indicated that the product had an exclusively *cis-cis* geometry whereas the

Table 1
Formation of macrocycles




Entry	R	Conditions	Vinyl J_{HH} (Hz)	Product	Yields (%)
1	H	Toluene, 25 °C	16.2	<i>trans</i> - 4a	36
2	Me	Toluene, 25 °C	16.2	<i>trans</i> - 4b	87
3	OMe	Toluene, 25 °C	16.2	<i>trans</i> - 4c	94
4	F	ClCH ₂ CH ₂ Cl, 60 °C	9.8	<i>cis</i> - 4d	92

macrocycles obtained from toluene (**4a-c**) were clearly the *trans-trans* geometry ($J=16.2$ Hz). These results suggested that the conformation of the zwitterionic π -allyl intermediate may be different in different solvents, with the *syn*-allyl complex being favored in toluene and the *anti*-allyl complex being more accessible in dichloroethane (Scheme 5).




Scheme 5.

Next, in an attempt to test for the presence of free *aza-ortho*-xylylenes in this reaction media, the same reaction was conducted in the presence of butyl vinyl ether. It was reasoned that if a free *aza-ortho*-xylylene was being formed, then the electron rich olefin should trap the intermediate via [4+2] cycloaddition (Scheme 6). Since, no cycloaddition product was observed, we conclude that free *aza-ortho*-xylylene intermediates are not formed to any appreciable extent under our reaction conditions.



Scheme 6.



Conducting the same trapping experiment at 100 °C led to an interesting result. While the macrocycle (**4a**) was formed cleanly as the kinetic product, it quantitatively converted to the hydroquinoline (**5a**) upon heating overnight (Scheme 7).¹⁰ With the knowledge that hydroquinolines are the thermodynamic product, we set forth to develop a decarboxylative cyclization that would give rise to hydroquinolines. Here, it proved important to consider the conformations of the π -allyl complex that give rise to the macrocycle vs hydroquinoline. While the trans-macrocycle is assuredly formed from the thermodynamically more stable *syn* conformation of the π -allyl complex (*syn-D*), the hydroquinoline must be formed from the less stable *anti*-conformation (*anti-D*, Scheme 7). Thus, in most cases, the trans macrocycles are probably the kinetic product because they are derived from the more stable conformation.

3. Decarboxylative cyclizations

With the goal of biasing the conformation such that the *anti*-conformation of the π -allyl complex would be viable, our attention turned to chlorinated solvents. Our previous results suggested that the trans macrocycle was favored in toluene, but in $\text{ClCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$ we were able to access a cis macrocycle. These results indicated that the *anti*-conformation of zwitterionic intermediate may be more accessible in dichloroethane. Investigation of several solvents quickly led to the conclusion that dichloromethane was the ideal solvent for decarboxylative cyclization. Ultimately, treatment of the vinyl benzoxazinone **3a** with 5 mol % $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature for 4 h effected complete conversion of the starting material and product **5a** was isolated in 65% yield (Scheme 8). Importantly, monitoring the reaction by ^1H NMR spectroscopy showed that macrocycle **4a** was not an intermediate in the reaction. Furthermore, the same analysis showed that vinyl azetidines are not intermediates in this transformation.^{1,11} Therefore, it appears that the dihydroquinoline is the kinetic product in dichloromethane solvent.






Table 2


Entry	R ¹	R ²	Product	Yield (%)
1	H	H	5a	65
2	<i>p</i> -Me	H	5b	50
3	<i>p</i> -MeO	H	5c	80
4	<i>p</i> -F	H	5d	51
5	H	Me	5e	77
6	<i>p</i> -Me	Me	5f	94
7	<i>p</i> -MeO	Me	5g	92
8	<i>p</i> -F	Me	5h	82

Other vinyl benzoxazinones reacted similarly to **3a**. Thus, subjecting a variety of vinyl benzoxazinones to catalytic $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$ in dichloromethane at room temperature produced the desired dihydroquinolines in good to excellent yields (Table 2). While most yields were satisfactory, the yield of the fluorinated product **5d** was somewhat low at 51%. Careful investigation of this crude reaction mixture showed that the mass balance was made up by macrocycle **4d**. Notably, the 2-methylallyl derivative of the fluorinated carbamate produced cyclized product **5h** in substantially higher yield (82%) and the macrocycle was not observed in the crude reaction mixture. Thus, the methyl group ($\text{R}_2=\text{CH}_3$) appears to sterically preclude formation of macrocycles, which leads to high product yields in each case where the 2-substituted allyl groups were utilized (**5e–h**). In fact, performing the reaction of methylallyl reactant **3f** in toluene, a solvent that typically favors macrocycloaddition, lead to exclusive formation of the dihydroquinoline product with no evidence of macrocycle formation (Scheme 9). While the methyl group may sterically slow intermolecular macrocycloaddition, it may also bias the conformation of the π -allyl complex by disfavoring the *syn*- π -allyl complex. Although steric bulk is tolerated in the 2-position of the allyl group, there is a limit on sterics that are tolerated in the cyclization; a substrate (**3i**) with a terminally disubstituted vinyl



group does not undergo any reaction, even under forcing conditions (110 °C) and only starting material is present after 12 h. Since decarboxylation after formation of a π -allyl palladium complex is facile and generally leads to irreversible transformation of the reactant, this experiment suggests that substrate (**3i**) is unreactive toward formation of the requisite π -allyl palladium complex.


Another current limitation of the decarboxylative cyclization to form dihydroquinolines is the inability to use protecting groups other than tosyl. For example, unprotected and benzyl protected vinyl oxazinones do not react under any conditions (Scheme 10). Presumably, these substrates can form π -allyl palladium complexes, but decarboxylation to form the relatively unstable aniline anion is unfavorable. Use of the more tractable nosyl protecting group did lead to substantial decarboxylative cyclization,¹² but the formation of a large amount of oligomeric/polymeric material limits the utility of that protecting group in our chemistry. Lastly, the benzoyl protecting group does facilitate decarboxylative cyclization, however, the benzoyl group is non-innocent and takes part in the cyclization to form benzoxazine **6a** in high yield. This transformation is expected on the basis of Cook's precedent that oxazoles can be formed in a similar manner.¹³




Scheme 10.

4. Decarboxylative cycloaddition of olefins

While attempts to intercept aza-*ortho*-xylylene intermediates with electron rich olefins such as butyl(vinyl) ether were unsuccessful, coordination of palladium is expected to polarize the aza-*ortho*-xylylene toward reaction with *electron deficient* olefins. Thus, allowing the vinyl benzoxazinone (**3a**, X=Ts) to react with 1 equiv of benzyldiene malononitrile produced the cycloaddition product **7a** in excellent yield with good diastereoselectivity (Scheme 11). Here, the nosyl protecting group also produced a high yield of product, however, 5 equiv of Michael acceptor were required to achieve high yields. Thus, we proceeded to use the tosyl-protected benzoxazinones in the



Scheme 11.



Scheme 12.

development of a highly asymmetric cycloaddition, which utilizes a variant of the well-known Trost ligand (Scheme 12).^{4,14}

In our communication of the asymmetric decarboxylative cycloaddition of electron deficient olefins, we provided a stereochemical rationale based on Lloyd-Jones' mnemonic for the C_1 -symmetric complex of the Trost ligand with Pd(0).¹⁵ Since that time, Lloyd-Jones has refined his model to incorporate a critical H-bonding interaction between the incoming nucleophile and the amide NH in the ligand backbone.¹⁶ In doing so, he has developed a new mnemonic device that nicely predicts our observed stereochemistry. Specifically, they found that the Trost ligand binds to palladium to produce **8**, which exists in a conformation represented as **E**. Simplifying this further, leads to a predictive model that has sterically hindering groups represented as A, B, and C, while there is an attractive interaction with the NH group (Fig. 1). Thus, the nucleophile prefers to align on the left side of the palladium complex to maximize H-bonding with the NH group, while the aryl (Ar) group directs itself up and away from a steric interaction with ligand backbone (C). Such an H-bond-directed attack of the nucleophile on the π -allyl complex accounts for our observed stereochemistry.

In addition to benzyldiene malononitriles, a variety of other electrophiles were investigated for their ability to intercept the polarized aza-*o*-xylylene intermediates. Simple enones, like methyl vinyl ketone, are not electrophilic enough to out-compete intramolecular cyclization, thus dihydroquinoline is the only product. Other acrylonitrile derivatives are, however, electrophilic enough to promote cycloaddition rather than cyclization. For instance, phenylsulfonyle acrylonitrile provides the products in good yield using just 1 equiv of electrophile (Scheme 13). Moreover, the products are formed as single diastereomers; presumably the stereochemistry is governed by a cyclization where all of the large groups occupy pseudo-equatorial positions about the six-membered ring. Cyanocoumarins are also adequate partners in the cycloaddition chemistry, however, higher concentrations of cyanocoumarin are required to achieve similar yields to the sulfonyl acrylonitrile.





Figure 1.




Scheme 13.

Investigation of the reaction by ^1H NMR spectroscopy shows that product is formed as a 7:1 mixture along with dihydroquinoline produced via intramolecular cyclization.

5. Decarboxylative cycloaddition of tosyl isocyanate

Electrophiles other than Michael acceptors are also viable partners for decarboxylative cycloaddition. For example, treatment of the vinyl benzoxazinone **3a** with tosyl isocyanate produced the dihydroquinazolinone (**9a**, Scheme 14).¹⁷ Unfortunately, the same reaction performed with a chiral Trost ligand that was successful in cycloaddition of Michael acceptors led to only low enantioselectivity in the formation of **9a** (24% ee).




Scheme 14.

6. Decarboxylative aminations

The reaction of tosyl isocyanate with the vinyl oxazinone amounts to the amination of the more hindered π -allyl terminus, where the amination is clearly directed, so a six-membered ring is formed. Next, we became curious whether free amine nucleophiles would react with the *aza-ortho*-xylylene intermediates with the standard regiochemical preference for reaction at the less hindered allyl terminus.¹⁸ Toward this end, vinyl benzoxazinone **3a** was treated with pyrrolidine in the presence of catalytic $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$. Interestingly, the pyrrolidine reacted exclusively at the more hindered allyl terminus to provide the aminated product **10a** in excellent yield (97%) and piperidine reacts similarly (Table 3, entries 1 and 2). In addition, primary benzylamines react to give clean monoallylation with the same regiochemical preference for


Table 3
Decarboxylative aminations



Entry	Amine	Product	b:I	Yield (%)
1		10a	>19:1	97
2		10b	>19:1	96
3		10c	>19:1	98
4		10d	>19:1	82 ^a
5		10e	—	<5
6		10f	>1:19	65


^a Obtained as a 1.2:1 mixture of diastereomers.

nucleophilic attack at the more hindered allyl terminus. While it is relatively unusual to observe attack at the more hindered allyl terminus of palladium π -allyl complexes,¹⁸ our current hypothesis is that the basic tosylamide acts to direct the addition via a six-membered transition state (Scheme 15). Such a hypothesis is consistent with Cooks' detailed studies on similar systems.¹⁹




Scheme 15.

Analogous treatment of the benzoxazinone **3a** with aniline failed to give any product, presumably due to the lower nucleophilicity of aniline relative to aliphatic amines. However, an activated aniline derivative does participate in the reaction (entry 6, Table 3). Here, a near thermoneutral proton transfer can produce the anionic sulfonamide nucleophile, which is substantially more reactive than aniline (Scheme 16). Another interesting feature of the reaction with



Scheme 16.



Scheme 17.

N-tosyl aniline is the regiochemistry of the isolated product. While the more basic amines produced the branched product with high selectivity, *N*-tosyl aniline produced the linear product exclusively.²⁰ Once again, we attribute this divergent behavior to the generation of the sulfonamide anion prior to C–N bond formation.

7. Conclusions

In conclusion, palladium-polarized aza-*ortho*-xylylenes are versatile intermediates that can be generated under mild conditions via decarboxylation of vinyl benzoxazinones. These intermediates undergo dimerization reactions to produce macrocycles, cyclizations to form dihydroquinolines, cycloadditions with electrophilic π -bonds, and nucleophilic attack by amines. Thus, a wide array of structurally unique aniline derivatives can be accessed (Scheme 17).

8. Experimental

8.1. General

Toluene and methylene chloride were dried over activated alumina. Commercially available reagents were used without additional purification unless otherwise stated. Products were purified on silica gel from Sorbent Technologies (230 \times 400 mesh, 60 Å porosity, pH 6.5–7.5). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance 400 or Bruker Avance 500 DRX spectrometer and referenced to residual protio solvent signals. Structural assignments are based on ¹H, ¹³C, DEPT-135, COSY, and HMQC spectroscopies, and by comparison with literature data.¹⁰ High resolution mass spectrometry was performed on an AUTOSPEC-Q tandem hybrid mass spectrometer (VG Analytical Ltd, Manchester, UK). FTIR spectra were acquired on a Shimadzu FTIR-8400S spectrometer. HPLC analysis was performed on a Shimadzu SCL-10A VP instrument.

8.2. General procedure for catalytic decarboxylative macrocycloaddition to form **4**

In a Schlenk tube under argon, Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.05 mmol) and vinyl benzoxazinone **1** (1 mmol) were dissolved in 5 mL of toluene. The resulting yellow solution was stirred at ambient temperature under Ar until reaction completion was indicated by TLC (ca. 10 min). Following solvent evaporation under reduced pressure, the crude product was purified via flash chromatography (SiO₂, 2:1 hexane/ethyl acetate).

8.2.1. (7*E*,15*E*)-5,13-Ditosyl-5,6,13,14-tetrahydrodibenzo[*b,h*]-[1,7]diazacyclododecine (**4a**)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.47 (6H, s, CH₃Ts), 3.62 (2H, dd, *J*=13.2, 5.9 Hz, CH₂), 3.73 (6H, s, CH₃), 4.66 (2H, ddd, *J*=13.2, 5.9, 1.3 Hz, CH₂), 5.46 (2H, dt, *J*=16.1, 5.9 Hz, =CHCH₂), 6.94 (2H, d, *J*=7.8 Hz, Ar CH), 7.15–7.25 (4H, m, Ar CH), 7.28 (2H, d, *J*=8.6 Hz, Ar CH), 7.41 (4H, d, *J*=8.6 Hz, Ar CH), 7.50 (2H, d, *J*=16.1 Hz, =CH), 7.83 (4H, d, *J*=8.1 Hz, Ar CH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.7 (CH₃Ts), 50.9 (CH₂), 124.6 (=CHCH₂), 127.0 (Ar CH), 128.2 (Ar CH), 128.4 (Ar CH), 128.5 (Ar CH), 129.0 (Ar CH), 129.6 (quat. Ar C), 130.0 (Ar CH), 136.0 (quat. Ar C), 137.3 (=CH), 139.0 (quat. Ar C), 143.9 (quat. Ar C). FTIR (CH₂Cl₂): ν_{\max} 3053, 2926, 1346, 1271, 1161, 760. HRMS calcd for C₃₂H₃₄N₃O₄S₂ [M+NH₄]⁺ 588.1991, found 588.1990.

8.2.2. (7*Z*,15*Z*)-2,10-Difluoro-5,13-ditosyl-5,6,13,14-tetrahydrodibenzo[1,7]diazacyclododecine (**4d**)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.36 (6H, s, CH₃Ts), 4.46 (4H, dd, *J*=3.73, 1.39 Hz, CH₂), 5.67 (2H, dt, *J*=9.8, 4.26 Hz, =CHCH₂), 6.29 (2H, d, *J*=9.8 Hz, =CH), 6.91 (2H, t, *J*=8.75 Hz, Ar CH), 7.11 (4H, d, *J*=7.89 Hz, Ar CH), 7.19–7.26 (2H, m, Ar CH), 7.33 (4H, d, *J*=8.2 Hz, Ar CH), 7.53 (2H, d, *J*=8.2 Hz, Ar CH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.6 (CH₃Ts), 45.1 (CH₂), 113.0 (Ar CH), 117.8 (quat. Ar C), 118.6 (=CHCH₂), 122.3 (Ar CH), 124.3 (=CH), 127.2 (Ar CH), 128.2 (Ar CH), 129.2 (Ar CH), 136.1 (quat. Ar C), 143.8 (quat. Ar C), 156.8 (quat. Ar C), 158.8 (quat. Ar C). FTIR (CH₂Cl₂): ν_{\max} 3065, 2926, 1612, 1472, 1263, 1167, 750. HRMS calcd for C₃₂H₂₈F₂N₂O₄S₂Na [M+Na]⁺ 629.1356, found 629.1359.

8.3. General procedure for catalytic decarboxylative dihydroquinoline synthesis from vinyl benzoxazinones

In a Schlenk tube under argon, Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.05 mmol) and vinyl benzoxazinone **1a** (1 mmol) were dissolved in methylene chloride (5 mL). The resulting yellow solution was stirred at ambient temperature under Ar until the completion of the reaction was indicated by TLC (generally 1–4 h). Following solvent evaporation under reduced pressure, the crude product was purified via flash chromatography (SiO₂, 7:1 hexane/ethyl acetate).

8.3.1. 6-Methyl-1-tosyl-1,2-dihydroquinoline (**5b**)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.32 (3H, s, overlapping CH₃Ts, CH₃), 2.35 (3H, s, overlapping CH₃Ts, CH₃), 4.41 (2H, dd, *J*=4.1, 1.6 Hz, CH₂), 5.56 (1H, dt, *J*=9.6, 4.1 Hz, =CHCH₂), 5.98 (1H, d, *J*=9.6 Hz, =CH), 6.75 (1H, s, Ar CH), 7.08 (3H, d, *J*=8.1 Hz, Ar CH), 7.31 (2H, d, *J*=8.3 Hz, Ar CH), 7.59 (1H, d, *J*=8.1 Hz, Ar CH). ¹³C NMR

(100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.0 (overlapping CH₃, CH₃Ts), 21.6 (overlapping CH₃, CH₃Ts), 45.5 (CH₂), 123.8 (=CHCH₂), 126.0 (=CH), 126.7 (Ar CH), 127.0 (Ar CH), 127.3 (Ar CH), 128.7 (Ar CH), 129.0 (Ar CH), 129.3 (quat. Ar C), 132.4 (quat. Ar C), 136.4 (quat. Ar C), 136.5 (quat. Ar C), 143.3 (quat. Ar C). FTIR (CH₂Cl₂): ν_{\max} 3053, 2986, 1489, 1421, 1271, 1258, 895. HRMS calcd for C₁₇H₁₈NO₂S [M+]⁺ 300.1058, found 300.1059.

8.3.2. 6-Methoxy-3-methyl-1-tosyl-1,2-dihydroquinoline (**5g**)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.65 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.36 (3H, s, CH₃Ts), 3.81 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.23 (2H, s, CH₂), 5.66 (1H, s, =CH), 6.41 (1H, d, *J*=2.8 Hz, Ar CH), 6.79 (1H, dd, *J*=8.8, 2.8 Hz, Ar CH), 7.09 (2H, d, *J*=8.1 Hz, Ar CH), 7.25 (2H, d, *J*=8.1 Hz, Ar CH), 7.61 (1H, d, *J*=8.8 Hz, Ar CH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 20.8 (CH₃), 21.6 (CH₃Ts), 49.6 (CH₂), 55.5 (OCH₃), 110.8 (Ar CH), 112.0 (Ar CH), 121.0 (=CH), 126.5 (quat. Ar C), 126.9 (Ar CH), 128.2 (Ar CH), 128.9 (Ar CH), 131.8 (quat. Ar C), 134.4 (=C), 135.8 (quat. Ar C), 143.3 (quat. Ar C), 158.3 (quat. Ar C). FTIR (CH₂Cl₂): ν_{\max} 3052, 2929, 1346, 1259, 1165. HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₁₉NO₃SNa [M+Na]⁺ 352.0984, found 352.0982.

8.4. General procedure for decarboxylative cycloadditions

In a Schlenk tube under argon, Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.05 mmol), vinyl benzoxazinone **1** (1 mmol), and the electrophile (1–1.1 mmol) were dissolved in 5 mL of methylene chloride. The resulting yellow solution was stirred at ambient temperature under Ar until reaction completion was indicated by TLC (generally 4–6 h). Following solvent evaporation under reduced pressure, the crude product was purified via flash chromatography (SiO₂, 5:1 hexane/ethyl acetate).

8.4.1. 2-Phenyl-1-tosyl-4-vinyl-1,2-dihydroquinoline-3,3(4H)-dicarbonitrile (**7a**)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.47 (3H, s, CH₃Ts), 2.56 (1H, d, *J*=9.6 Hz, CHCH=), 5.09 (1H, d, *J*=16.8 Hz, CH=CH(H)_{trans}), 5.61 (1H, dd, *J*=10.1, 1.0 Hz, CH=CH(H)_{cis}), 5.77 (1H, s, CHPh), 5.91 (1H, dt, *J*=16.8, 10.1 Hz, CH=CH₂), 7.17 (1H, d, *J*=7.7 Hz, Ar CH), 7.29 (2H, d, *J*=7.7 Hz, Ar CH), 7.39–7.51 (5H, m, Ar CH), 7.51–7.67 (4H, m, Ar CH), 7.89 (1H, dd, *J*=7.99, 1.04 Hz, Ar CH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.6 (CH₃Ts), 49.1 (CHCH=), 50.0 (CCN₂), 65.8 (CHPh), 111.2 (CN), 113.9 (CN), 125.0 (=CH₂), 126.8 (Ar CH), 127.0 (Ar CH), 127.3 (Ar CH), 128.2 (Ar CH), 128.6 (Ar CH), 129.2 (Ar CH), 129.3 (CH=CH₂), 129.6 (Ar CH), 130.0 (Ar CH), 130.2 (Ar CH), 131.6 (quat. Ar C), 134.5 (quat. Ar C), 135.1 (quat. Ar C), 136.7 (quat. Ar C), 145.1 (quat. Ar C). FTIR (CDCl₃): ν_{\max} 3053, 2986, 2305, 1597, 1483, 1421, 1262, 895. HRMS calcd for C₂₆H₂₁N₃O₂SNa [M+Na]⁺ 462.1252, found 462.1251. HPLC, Diacel Chiralpak AD-H column (96% hexane/IPA, 1.0 mL/min), *t*_R (S,S)=22.8 min, *t*_R (R,R)=32.1 min. *N*-nosyl derivative: 1-(4-nitrophenylsulfonyl)-2-phenyl-4-vinyl-1,2-dihydroquinoline-3,3(4H)-dicarbonitrile. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.02 (1H, d, *J*=9.5 Hz, CHCH=), 5.33 (1H, d, *J*=16.8 Hz, CH=CH(H)_{trans}), 5.69 (1H, d, *J*=10.2 Hz, CH=CH(H)_{cis}), 5.86 (1H, s, CHPh), 5.95 (1H, ddd, *J*=16.6, 10.2, 9.5 Hz, CH=CH₂), 6.97–8.26 (overlapping Ar CH).

8.5. General procedure for decarboxylative amination

Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.012 mmol), vinyl benzoxazinone **3a** (0.2 mmol), and the amine (0.3 mmol) were dissolved in methylene chloride (1.0 mL) in a well-sealed reaction vial under argon. The resulting solution was stirred at ambient temperature under Ar until reaction completion was indicated by TLC (generally 30 min to 1 h). Following solvent evaporation under reduced pressure, the crude product was purified via flash chromatography (SiO₂, 4:1 hexane/ethyl acetate).

8.5.1. 4-Methyl-N-(2-(1-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)allyl)phenyl)-benzenesulfonamide (**10a**)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.85 (4H, s), 2.39 (3H, s, CH₃Ts and CH₂, overlapping), 2.55 (2H, br s), 3.69 (1H, d, *J*=8.84 Hz), 4.96 (1H, d, *J*=9.92 Hz), 5.09 (1H, d, *J*=16.84 Hz), 5.87–5.78 (1H, m), 6.93 (1H, d, *J*=7.16 Hz), 6.99 (1H, d, *J*=7.16 Hz), 7.19–7.15 (1H, m), 7.28–7.25 (1H, m), 7.53 (1H, d, *J*=8.04 Hz), 7.80 (1H, d, *J*=8.04 Hz), 11.87 (1H, br s). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.5, 23.6, 51.8, 74.3, 117.2, 118.0, 123.0, 127.0, 128.2, 128.7, 128.8, 129.5, 136.4, 137.1, 137.8, 143.4. FTIR (CH₂Cl₂): ν_{\max} 2935, 1494, 1338, 1151, 756, 655. HRMS calcd for C₂₀H₂₄N₂O₂SNa [M+Na]⁺ 379.1456, found 379.1451.

8.5.2. 4-Methyl-N-(2-(1-(piperidin-1-yl)allyl)phenyl)-benzenesulfonamide (**10b**)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.49 (2H, br s), 1.68 (4H, m), 2.40 (3H, s, CH₃Ts), 2.49 (4H, br s), 3.62 (1H, d, *J*=9.60 Hz), 5.08 (2H, dd, *J*=18.50, 13.50 Hz), 5.76 (1H, dt, *J*=16.9, 9.9 Hz), 6.99–6.95 (2H, m), 7.20–7.16 (1H, m), 7.28–7.25 (2H, m), 7.47 (1H, d, *J*=8.00 Hz), 7.77 (2H, d, *J*=7.77 Hz), 12.18 (1H, br s). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.5, 24.3, 26.0, 51.1, 74.1, 118.9, 119.4, 123.4, 126.9, 128.1, 128.6, 129.0, 129.6, 134.4, 137.5, 138.2, 143.3. FTIR (CH₂Cl₂): ν_{\max} 2935, 1492, 1340, 1150, 754. HRMS calcd for C₂₁H₂₆N₂NaO₂S [M+Na]⁺ 393.1613, found 393.1611.

8.5.3. N-(2-(1-(Benzylamino)allyl)phenyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (**10c**)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.37 (3H, s, CH₃Ts), 3.65 (1H, d, *J*=13.04 Hz), 3.74 (1H, d, *J*=13.04 Hz), 4.14 (1H, d, *J*=6.76 Hz), 5.05 (2H, t, *J*=10.40 Hz), 5.84–5.76 (1H, m), 7.02–6.97 (2H, m), 7.21–7.16 (3H, m), 7.35 (3H, m), 7.40 (2H, d, *J*=7.60 Hz), 7.60 (1H, d, *J*=7.60 Hz), 7.66 (2H, d, *J*=7.60 Hz), 11.31 (1H, br s). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.4, 50.9, 65.1, 117.1, 119.3, 123.4, 127.1, 127.5, 127.8, 128.3, 128.5, 128.7, 129.4, 136.9, 137.5, 138.4, 143.2. FTIR (CH₂Cl₂): ν_{\max} 3028, 1494, 1150, 933, 756. HRMS calcd for C₂₃H₂₅N₂O₂SNa [M+H]⁺ 393.1637, found 393.1630.

8.5.4. (E)-4-Methyl-N-(3-(2-(4-methylphenylsulfonamido)-phenyl)allyl)-N-phenylbenzenesulfonamide

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 2.41 (3H, s, CH₃Ts), 2.47 (3H, s, CH₃Ts), 4.23 (2H, d, *J*=6.5 Hz), 5.83 (1H, dt, *J*=15.7, 6.4 Hz), 6.10 (1H, br s), 6.21 (1H, d, *J*=15.70 Hz), 7.10–7.06 (3H, m), 7.18–7.13 (2H, m), 7.29–7.22 (3H, m), 7.30 (1H, br s), 7.37–7.32 (2H, m), 7.52 (2H, d, *J*=8.25 Hz), 7.56 (2H, d, *J*=8.25 Hz). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 21.6 (CH₃Ts), 21.6 (CH₃Ts), 52.9, 124.5, 126.3, 127.2, 127.3, 127.8, 128.1, 128.6, 128.6, 128.7, 128.8, 129.1, 129.5, 129.7, 131.4, 133.2, 135.19, 136.4, 139.2, 143.7, 143.9. HRMS calcd for C₂₉H₂₈N₂O₄S₂Na [M+Na]⁺ 555.1388, found 555.1351.

References and notes

- Wang, C.; Tunge, J. A. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 3211–3214.
- (a) Kuhn, O.; Mayr, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 343–346; (b) Lemek, T.; Mayr, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 6880–6886.
- For related cycloadditions see: (a) Aoyagi, K.; Nakamura, H.; Yamamoto, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 5977–5980; (b) Sekido, M.; Aoyagi, K.; Nakamura, H.; Kabuto, C.; Yamamoto, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 7142–7147.
- Wang, C.; Tunge, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 8118–8119.
- Wojciechowski, K. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, 3587–3605.
- Consonni, R.; Dalla Croce, P.; Ferraciolli, R.; La Rosa, C. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1996**, 1809–1814.
- Chong, P. Y.; Janicki, S. Z.; Petillo, P. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 8515–8521.
- Rauno, G.; Luis, J.; Concepcion, P.; Jesus, H. R. *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 203–214.
- For a related macrocyclic triflamide see: Satake, A.; Ishii, H.; Shimizu, I.; Inoue, Y.; Hasegawa, H.; Yamamoto, A. *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 5331–5340.
- (a) Arisawa, M.; Terada, Y.; Takahashi, K.; Nakagawa, M.; Nishida, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 4255–4261; (b) Larock, R. C.; Hightower, T. R.; Hasvold, L. A.; Peterson, K. P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 3584–3585; (c) Weissman, A.; Muren, J. F. *J. Med. Chem.* **1971**, *14*, 49–53.
- Fugami, K.; Miura, K.; Morizawa, Y.; Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K.; Nozaki, H. *Tetrahedron* **1989**, *45*, 3089–3098.

12. (a) Fukuyama, T.; Jow, C.-K.; Cheung, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, 36, 6373–6374; (b) Maligres, P. E.; See, M. M.; Askin, D.; Reider, P. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, 38, 5253–5256.
13. (a) Cook, G. R.; Shanker, P. S.; Pararajasingham, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1999**, 38, 110–113; (b) Cook, G. R.; Sun, L. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, 6, 2481–2484.
14. Trost, B. M.; Van Vranken, D. L.; Bingel, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, 114, 9327–9343.
15. Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Stephen, S. C.; Fairlamb, I. J. S.; Martorell, A.; Dominguez, B.; Tomlin, P. M.; Murray, M.; Fernandez, J. M.; Jeffery, J. C.; Riis-Johannessen, T.; Guertz, T. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2004**, 76, 589–601.
16. Personal communication of a manuscript that is submitted for publication Butts, C. P.; Filali, E.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Norrby, P. O.; Sale, D. A.; Schramm, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, in press.
17. Trost, B. M.; Fandrick, D. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 11836–11837.
18. Trost, B. M.; Van Vranken, D. L. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, 96, 395–422.
19. Cook, G. R.; Yu, H.; Sankaranarayanan, S.; Shanker, P. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 5115–5120.
20. Investigation of the early stages of the reaction by ^1H NMR spectroscopy does not show any branched product, thus the linear product is suspected to be the kinetic product.